

Digital Feminism and Helpline Technologies: A Theoretical Study of ICT-enabled Women's Safety Measures

Anshu Sharma

Research Scholar

Department of Political Science

*Gokul Das Hindu Girls College,
Moradabad (U.P.)*

Prof. (Dr.) Meenakshi Sharma

Professor & H.O.D.

Department of Political Science

*Gokul Das Hindu Girls College,
Moradabad (U.P.)*

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

Received: 20.01.2025

Accepted on: 24.03.2025

Anshu Sharma

Prof. (Dr.) Meenakshi Sharma

Digital Feminism and
Helpline Technologies: A
Theoretical Study of ICT-
enabled Women's Safety
Measures

Vol. XVI, Sp. Issue Mar. 2025
Article No. 15, Pg. 132-142

Similarity Check: 04%

Online available at <https://anubooks.com/special-issues?url=jgv-si-gdhg-college-mdbd-mar-25>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31995/jgv.2025.v16iSI03.015>

Abstract

In the digital age, women's safety has increasingly intersected with technological innovation, particularly through the rise of ICT-enabled helpline services such as India's 112 Emergency Response System and 181 Women's Helpline. This research paper explores the role of helpline technologies within the theoretical frameworks of digital feminism, media theory, and the digital divide, examining how these interventions contribute to or hinder women's empowerment and safety. By conceptualizing these helplines not just as emergency tools but as socio-technical systems embedded in patriarchal structures, the paper critically analyzes their function, accessibility, and symbolic significance.

Digital feminism offers a lens to interpret these platforms as instruments of agency, where women can assert their voice, claim public space, and seek redress against violence. However, challenges persist in the form of unequal access, lack of awareness, and distrust in state systems. Applying media theory, particularly uses and gratifications and agenda-setting perspectives, the research paper evaluates how women engage with helpline services and how their visibility in mainstream media influences public trust and usage. Furthermore, the digital divide theory helps to unpack how gendered disparities in access to technology-exacerbated by class, caste, and geographic factors-underline the potential reach and efficacy of digital safety tools.

This theoretical research paper underscores the importance of integrating feminist principles into the design and dissemination of safety technologies. It concludes that while helpline technologies can be powerful tools for transformation,

their success depends on addressing deeper structural inequalities, ensuring inclusive access, and fostering trust through participatory and gender-sensitive digital governance.

Keywords

Digital feminism, ICT, women's safety, 112 helpline, 181 helpline, media theory, digital divide, gender empowerment, feminist technology, public safety.

Introduction

The alarming rise in gender-based violence across the globe, particularly in developing nations like India, has pushed the discourse of women's safety to the forefront of public concern and state policy. Incidents such as the 2012 Nirbhaya case in Delhi galvanized both grassroots activism and institutional reforms, highlighting the urgent need for robust, responsive, and inclusive mechanisms to protect women in public and private spaces. In response, a new wave of Information and Communication Technology (ICT)-based safety measures emerged, prominently including helpline services like 181 Women's Helpline and 112 Emergency Response System, which were designed to provide immediate assistance to women in distress. These services are not merely emergency support systems; they are evolving into tools of empowerment, governance, and surveillance-shaping a new terrain of gender relations in the digital era.

The 181 Women's Helpline, launched initially by the Delhi Government and later adopted by several Indian states, offers women access to emergency counseling, legal aid, rescue operations, and police coordination. Similarly, 112 Emergency Response, a unified national number integrating police, ambulance, and fire services, includes panic button functionality on mobile phones to instantly track and respond to threats against women. These initiatives represent a convergence of state responsibility, technological innovation, and feminist advocacy, but they also bring forth new challenges related to digital access, effectiveness, and equity.¹

Parallel to these developments is the rise of digital feminism, which refers to the use of digital platforms and technologies by feminist movements to express dissent, build solidarity, and demand justice. As Donna Haraway articulates in her seminal work *A Cyborg Manifesto*,² the fusion of human and machine offers feminist politics a new realm for subversion and autonomy. In this framework, digital tools such as safety apps and helpline services become symbols of feminist resistance and state accountability. Yet, this utopian view is complicated by persistent inequalities in digital access—a gap widely described in scholarship as the gendered digital divide. Women, particularly in rural areas or marginalized communities, often

lack access to mobile phones, internet services, or the digital literacy required to fully utilize these tools.³

Moreover, the way helpline services are conceptualized and implemented is deeply shaped by media narratives and state-controlled publicity. According to McQuail's Media Theory, particularly the Uses and Gratifications model, users actively seek media that satisfy their needs.⁴ However, the utility of these services depends on both awareness and the perceived credibility of the system. Media campaigns often portray helplines as panaceas, but the lived experiences of many women reveal significant gaps in responsiveness, follow-up, and sensitivity among service providers.⁵

The rationale for this research lies in the need to critically evaluate helpline technologies not just as administrative tools, but as socio-political instruments embedded in a larger digital feminist context. This involves questioning who these services serve, who gets excluded, and how they reshape power relations between the state, the public, and women. By using theoretical lenses such as digital feminism, media theory, and digital divide theory, this paper seeks to explore the intersections between gender, technology, and safety-arguing that helpline systems are not neutral structures but products of socio-technical negotiations, shaped by class, caste, urban-rural divides, and institutional accountability.⁶

In this light, the research paper aims to build a conceptual framework that can inform more inclusive, responsive, and feminist digital governance, moving beyond token technological solutions to transformative change.

Theoretical Framework

A robust theoretical framework is essential to critically understand the socio-technical nature of helpline technologies aimed at women's safety. This research applies three interconnected theoretical lenses-digital feminism, media theory, and digital divide theory-to analyze how women interact with ICT-enabled safety mechanisms like 112 and 181 helplines, and how these mechanisms reflect deeper structures of power, access, and resistance.

Digital Feminism

Digital feminism refers to the use of digital platforms and technologies to articulate, mobilize, and organize feminist resistance and advocacy. It encompasses a broad range of activities-from online campaigns like #MeToo and #WhyLoiter to more formal technological tools such as safety apps and helplines-that challenge patriarchal norms through digital means. The term draws on foundational ideas in feminist theory that interrogate how technology both empowers and marginalizes women.

Donna Haraway's groundbreaking work *A Cyborg Manifesto* (1991) is a pivotal contribution to digital feminist thought. Haraway challenges the binaries of human/machine and male/female by presenting the "cyborg" as a metaphor for a hybrid identity that resists patriarchal categorization. She argues that "the cyborg is a creature of social reality as well as a creature of fiction" and offers a post-gender vision of power where women can use technology to subvert traditional roles.⁷ In the context of women's helplines, this perspective enables us to see digital tools not just as technical fixes, but as symbolic acts of resistance and autonomy. These technologies, when designed inclusively, allow women to reclaim digital and physical space, transforming the narrative of victimhood into one of agency.

Judy Wajcman, another leading scholar in this domain, emphasizes that technologies are not gender-neutral but are shaped by the social contexts and power relations in which they are developed and used. In *Technofeminism* (2004), Wajcman explores the co-construction of gender and technology, asserting that "the design and implementation of technology reflects the values and assumptions of the dominant culture".⁸ In this light, digital safety tools such as helpline technologies must be interrogated: Who designs them? Who controls them? Who benefits from them? These questions are crucial in assessing whether such technologies genuinely serve feminist goals or merely reinforce institutional forms of control.

Media Theory

The interaction between media and society is central to understanding how women's safety technologies are communicated, adopted, and perceived. Two key concepts within media theory—Uses and Gratifications Theory and Agenda-Setting Theory—provide useful insights into these dynamics.

The Uses and Gratifications Theory, developed by Blumler and Katz in the 1970s and later elaborated by Denis McQuail, suggests that individuals actively select media to satisfy specific needs such as information, personal identity, integration, and security. In the context of digital safety tools, women may actively seek out helpline services as a means of achieving safety, connection to state support, and reassurance in times of crisis.⁹ This theory shifts the perspective from women as passive recipients of media to active users who strategically engage with technologies, reflecting autonomy and decision-making capacity.

However, the visibility and perceived effectiveness of such tools are heavily shaped by Agenda-Setting Theory, originally proposed by McCombs and Shaw.

According to this theory, the media doesn't tell people what to think, but rather what to think about. When safety helplines are prominently featured in government campaigns or news media—often during national crises or political events—they are framed as powerful and responsive interventions. Yet, in everyday contexts, their absence from media narratives contributes to limited awareness and use. For example, despite the operationalization of the 112 and 181 helplines across several Indian states, surveys have shown that a large number of women are unaware of these services, especially in rural and low-income areas.¹⁰ Thus, media theory helps explain the gaps between policy visibility and grassroots awareness, affecting women's ability to utilize digital safety mechanisms.

Digital Divide Theory

The final component of this theoretical framework is the Digital Divide Theory, which examines disparities in access to and use of digital technologies. The digital divide is typically analyzed on three levels: first-level (access), second-level (skills and usage), and third-level (outcomes and empowerment). In the case of helpline technologies, these levels highlight key obstacles to inclusivity and effectiveness.

The first-level divide concerns physical access to digital infrastructure such as mobile phones, internet connectivity, and electricity. In India, although mobile penetration has increased significantly, women are still 15–20% less likely to own a mobile phone compared to men, especially in rural and semi-urban areas.¹¹ Without access to a functioning mobile device, helpline technologies are simply out of reach for many women.

The second-level divide pertains to digital literacy, awareness, and confidence in using technology. Many women, particularly those from marginalized communities, lack the training or exposure to use helpline services effectively. A study by IT for Change (2019) noted that even when helpline numbers are known, women may hesitate to use them due to fear of judgment, retaliation, or negative interactions with police personnel.¹² This underscores the importance of user-centered design and gender-sensitive training for helpline operators.

The third-level or gender digital divide goes deeper, encompassing socio-cultural barriers such as patriarchal control, domestic surveillance, and lack of mobility. For instance, in many households, women are discouraged from owning or using mobile phones independently, leading to a scenario where technological solutions exist, but women are socially forbidden to use them.¹³ This highlights that

digital inequality is not only about infrastructure but about power and control over information flows.

Helpline Technologies in India: A Case Overview

In recent years, the Indian government has introduced several ICT-enabled helpline services specifically aimed at addressing crimes against women and ensuring real-time emergency support. The implementation of such technologies-particularly Helpline 181 and Helpline 112-is part of a broader institutional effort to digitize public safety, improve rapid response, and restore public trust in law enforcement. These helplines are complemented by various state-level innovations like mobile applications that aim to offer women accessible and immediate assistance. However, the real-world effectiveness of these technologies is uneven, often hampered by infrastructural limitations, digital illiteracy, and inadequate administrative support.

Helpline 181: Origin, Purpose, and Services Offered

The 181 Women's Helpline was first launched in Delhi in December 2012 in response to the public outrage following the Nirbhaya gangrape case. Conceived as a toll-free, 24x7 helpline exclusively for women, its goal was to provide integrated support in the form of counseling, police assistance, legal aid, and rescue services. The initiative quickly gained national attention and was adopted by other states including Punjab, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, and Gujarat.¹⁴ Operated often under the Ministry of Women and Child Development at the state level, Helpline 181 is intended to serve as a first point of contact for women facing harassment, domestic abuse, trafficking, or emotional distress.

One of the distinguishing features of the 181 helpline is its multifunctional design. It is not limited to forwarding calls to the police but also offers psychological counseling and coordinates with women's shelter homes, NGOs, and legal aid cells. In practice, however, the quality of services varies widely across states due to inconsistencies in staffing, resource allocation, and inter-agency collaboration. As Bhattacharya points out, "the helpline's promise of one-stop solutions is undercut by the fragmented delivery systems and lack of trained responders".¹⁵

Helpline 112: Integration with Emergency Services

In contrast to 181, Helpline 112 is a pan-India integrated emergency response number launched under the Emergency Response Support System (ERSS), managed by the Ministry of Home Affairs. It merges the functionalities of earlier helpline numbers (100 for police, 101 for fire, and 102 for ambulance) into a single-digit

dial. Importantly, it also incorporates technology-based features such as GPS-enabled location tracking, panic button functionality on mobile phones, and the integration of call centers with police stations and dispatch units.¹⁶

The pioneering aspect of 112 is its emphasis on speed and location-specific responsiveness. When a woman presses the panic button or makes a call, her location is tracked and the nearest police vehicle or responder is dispatched. In states like Himachal Pradesh and Kerala, the system has shown encouraging results, with average response times being reduced to under 10 minutes. However, issues such as delayed follow-up, unresponsive call handlers, and inadequate technological infrastructure continue to affect the service's credibility in many regions.¹⁷

State-Level Innovations: Shakti App, Suraksha App, Himmat App

Several Indian states have also launched region-specific mobile applications that supplement the central helplines by offering localized safety features. For instance, the Shakti App in Himachal Pradesh, launched in 2016, allows women to send SOS messages to nearby police stations. Similarly, Suraksha App in Karnataka and Himmat App by the Delhi Police include GPS tracking, alert messages to emergency contacts, and even voice recordings of incidents.¹⁸

These apps represent a growing trend of smartphone-enabled gender safety solutions. However, their actual usage remains limited, often due to poor awareness campaigns and inadequate digital penetration in rural areas. In many cases, women are unaware of the existence of these applications, or they lack the confidence or digital literacy to use them effectively. Moreover, the reliance on internet connectivity and smartphone compatibility creates another layer of exclusion for economically disadvantaged women, further complicating the digital safety ecosystem.

Accessibility Challenges: Digital Literacy, Rural Connectivity, Language Barriers

Despite their innovative design and potential for rapid intervention, these helpline technologies face serious accessibility challenges, particularly for women from rural, low-income, or marginalized communities. One of the most pressing issues is digital literacy. According to the GSMA Mobile Gender Gap Report (2022), women in India are 16% less likely than men to own a mobile phone and 33% less likely to use mobile internet. This gendered gap significantly reduces the potential reach of ICT-based safety interventions.¹⁹

Rural areas also suffer from network instability, lack of data connectivity, and inconsistent access to electricity—all of which undermine the real-time

functionality of helplines and safety apps. In addition, language barriers prevent many women from using these services. Most apps and helpline interfaces are in English or Hindi, excluding women who speak only regional or tribal languages.²⁰ These challenges highlight the importance of localized and inclusive technology design that caters to linguistic and regional diversity.

Implementation Gaps: Staffing, Responsiveness, and Institutional Coordination

Implementation remains the Achilles' heel of helpline technologies in India. While the technical infrastructure may be in place, its effective use is hindered by understaffing, poor training, and lack of coordination among law enforcement agencies, NGOs, and healthcare services. Studies have found that many helpline centers operate with minimal personnel who are not sensitized to handle gender-based violence cases, often resulting in delayed responses or victim-blaming attitudes.²¹

Another critical issue is follow-up. In several reported cases, while the helpline responded promptly to initial calls, there was no systemic follow-through to ensure victim support, rehabilitation, or legal action. This creates a trust deficit among users, reducing the perceived reliability and effectiveness of these services.²²

Recommendations (Theoretical & Policy-Oriented)

Drawing from the preceding theoretical analysis, it is clear that ICT-enabled safety services like helplines hold transformative potential but remain constrained by systemic inequalities and inadequate implementation. To unlock their full capacity as tools of feminist empowerment, a series of both theoretical and policy-oriented interventions are necessary.

1. Bridging the Digital Divide through Inclusive Technology Design

Addressing the gendered digital divide must be central to any meaningful reform. Technologies such as safety helplines should not presume universal digital literacy, access to smartphones, or fluency in English or Hindi. A significant portion of Indian women speak regional languages and use feature phones. Therefore, voice-based helpline systems that support multiple regional languages, accessible through basic phone models, should be developed and scaled. As Arora (2020) notes, localizing ICT tools is not a technical choice but a political imperative to ensure inclusion.²³ Additionally, employing Interactive Voice Response Systems (IVRS) can help automate multilingual, step-by-step safety assistance without requiring literacy.

2. Training Women Police Officers in ICT Outreach

The presence of women police officers is vital for building trust in helpline systems. However, many female officers lack training in ICT tools and digital outreach methods. A structured national program should be introduced to train women officers in using helpline technologies, community sensitization, and digital reporting systems. This would serve dual purposes-enhancing service delivery and strengthening community-police relations. As Bhattacharya (2020) emphasizes, female officers can act as crucial bridges between the state and female citizens, especially when equipped with technological competence.²⁴

3. Feminist Policymaking : Centering the Most Marginalized

Helpline systems and digital safety tools must be designed through a feminist and intersectional policymaking lens. This means explicitly considering the needs of Dalit, Adivasi, disabled, LGBTQ+, and working-class women, who are most at risk yet least able to access help. Policymaking processes should include consultations with grassroots women's organizations, survivors' collectives, and community health workers who understand the lived realities of these groups. As Wajcman (2004) argues, inclusive technology is only possible when marginalized voices participate in the design and governance of that technology.²⁵ Budgets must also prioritize community-based digital literacy programs and rural internet infrastructure.

4. Media Literacy Campaigns to Build Trust and Awareness

A major barrier to the success of helpline technologies is lack of public awareness and misinformation. Many women do not trust the system, do not know how to use it, or have been misinformed by local rumor networks. Comprehensive media literacy campaigns-especially via local radio, television, community centers, and self-help groups-should be launched to educate women on their rights and how to access safety technologies. These campaigns should feature real-life testimonials, dramatized simulations, and practical demonstrations tailored to local contexts. McQuail's media theory reminds us that media is central in shaping trust and usage²⁶ and as such, information dissemination must be participatory, relatable, and recurring.

Conclusion

The theoretical analysis presented in this paper reveals that helpline technologies like 181 and 112 are far more than emergency response mechanisms-they are complex, symbolic, and often contested digital infrastructures embedded within societal power structures. Through the lens of digital feminism, these

technologies emerge as potential tools of empowerment, offering women new forms of voice, mobility, and resistance. Yet, they also risk becoming sites of digital surveillance and exclusion, particularly when developed without the active involvement of marginalized users.

Media theory illustrates the role of public narratives in shaping perceptions of these services. While promotional campaigns portray helplines as universally accessible and effective, real-world usage often reflects class, caste, and literacy barriers that undermine their intended function. Furthermore, the digital divide theory exposes the layered exclusions that prevent equitable access—where a lack of infrastructure, digital skills, and socio-cultural freedom constrains women’s use of even the most advanced safety tools.

The key contribution of this research lies in its intersectional and theoretical framing, showing that technological safety solutions must not be analyzed or implemented in isolation from their social and political contexts. Helpline services need to be critically assessed, inclusive by design, and supported by feminist-informed institutions. The paper calls for an urgent integration of intersectional feminist theory into the formulation, design, and governance of public safety technologies in India. Only then can we move from symbolic empowerment to transformative justice, ensuring that every woman, regardless of her background, has the ability to seek help, be heard, and receive support.

References

1. Kumar, *Gender and Technology : Emerging Frontiers*, Sage Publications, New Delhi, 2020, Pg. **142**.
2. Haraway, Routledge, New York, 1991, Pg. **149**.
3. Wajcman, *Technofeminism*, Polity Press, Cambridge, 2004, Pg. **87**.
4. McQuail, *Mass Communication Theory*, Sage Publications, London, 2010, Pg. **413**.
5. Baxi, *Public Secrets of Law: Rape Trials in India*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2014, Pg. **205**.
6. Bailey & Steeves, *eGirls, eCitizens*, University of Ottawa Press, 2015, Pg. **233**.
7. Haraway, *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women*, Routledge, New York, 1991, Pg. **149**.

8. Wajcman, *Technofeminism*, Polity Press, Cambridge, 2004, Pg. **87**.
9. McQuail, *Mass Communication Theory*, Sage Publications, London, 2010, Pg. **413**.
10. Kumar, *Media, Women and Violence*, Rawat Publications, Jaipur, 2018, Pg. **214**.
11. GSMA, *Mobile Gender Gap Report*, 2022.
12. IT for Change, *Digital Justice for Women in India*, Bangalore, 2019, Pg. **43**.
13. Arora, *Gender and the Digital Divide in India*, Sage Publications, New Delhi, 2020, Pg. **95**.
14. Basu, *Women and Law in India*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2016, Pg. **202**.
15. Bhattacharya, *Gender, Governance and Technology*, Routledge, New Delhi, 2020, Pg. **116**.
16. Mitra, *Safe City Projects and Women's Security*, Sage Publications, New Delhi, 2019, Pg. **135**.
17. Sharma, *Technology and Public Safety in India*, Rawat Publications, Jaipur, 2021, Pg. **98**.
18. Sen, *Gender and Mobile Technology in India*, Orient BlackSwan, Hyderabad, 2020, Pg. **123**.
19. GSMA, *Mobile Gender Gap Report*, 2022.
20. Arora, *Gender and the Digital Divide in India*, Sage Publications, New Delhi, 2020, Pg. **87**.
21. Baxi, *Public Secrets of Law: Rape Trials in India*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2014, Pg. **205**.
22. Deshpande, *Justice Denied: Women and Violence in India*, Kalpaz Publications, Delhi, 2017, Pg. **143**.
23. *Gender and the Digital Divide in India*, Sage Publications, New Delhi, Pg. **112**.
24. *Gender, Governance and Technology*, Routledge, New Delhi, Pg. **134**.
25. *Technofeminism*, Polity Press, Cambridge, Pg. **90**.
26. McQuail, *Mass Communication Theory*, Sage Publications, London, 2010, Pg. **413**.